

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW
AND PROCESS
Third Edition

LexisNexis Law School Publishing Advisory Board

Paul Caron

Professor of Law
Pepperdine University School of Law
Herzog Summer Visiting Professor in Taxation
University of San Diego School of Law

Bridgette Carr

Clinical Professor of Law
University of Michigan Law School

Olympia Duhart

Professor of Law and Director of Lawyering Skills & Values Program
Nova Southeastern University, Shepard Broad Law School

Samuel Estreicher

Dwight D. Opperman Professor of Law
Director, Center for Labor and Employment Law
NYU School of Law

Steven I. Friedland

Professor of Law and Senior Scholar
Elon University School of Law

Carole Goldberg

Jonathan D. Varat Distinguished Professor of Law
UCLA School of Law

Oliver Goodenough

Professor of Law
Vermont Law School

Paul Marcus

Haynes Professor of Law
William and Mary Law School

John Sprankling

Distinguished Professor of Law
McGeorge School of Law

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW AND PROCESS

Third Edition

Alfred C. Aman, Jr.
Roscoe C. O'Byrne Professor of Law
Indiana University Maurer School of Law

ISBN: 978-0-7698-4730-6

ISBN: 978-0-7698-4731-3 (looseleaf)

ISBN: 978-1-5791-1666-8 (ebook)

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Aman, Alfred C., author.

Administrative law and process / Alfred C. Aman, Jr., Roscoe C. O'Byrne Professor of Law Indiana University Maurer School of Law. — Third Edition.

pages cm

Includes index.

1. Administrative law — United States. I. Title.

KF5402.A74 2014

342.73'06—dc23

2014022193

This publication is designed to provide authoritative information in regard to the subject matter covered. It is sold with the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services. If legal advice or other expert assistance is required, the services of a competent professional should be sought.

LexisNexis and the Knowledge Burst logo are registered trademarks of Reed Elsevier Properties Inc., used under license. Matthew Bender and the Matthew Bender Flame Design are registered trademarks of Matthew Bender Properties Inc.

Copyright © 2014 Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of LexisNexis. All Rights Reserved.

No copyright is claimed by LexisNexis or Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., in the text of statutes, regulations, and excerpts from court opinions quoted within this work. Permission to copy material may be licensed for a fee from the Copyright Clearance Center, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, Mass. 01923, telephone (978) 750-8400.

NOTE TO USERS

To ensure that you are using the latest materials available in this area, please be sure to periodically check the LexisNexis Law School web site for downloadable updates and supplements at www.lexisnexis.com/lawschool.

Editorial Offices

121 Chanlon Rd., New Providence, NJ 07974 (908) 464-6800

201 Mission St., San Francisco, CA 94105-1831 (415) 908-3200

www.lexisnexis.com

MATTHEW  BENDER

Dedication

To my students

Preface to the Third Edition

Administrative law is about legal processes that relate power to principles. It governs the legal means by which executive, legislative, and judicial powers are exercised over and through administrative agencies. The principles underlying federal administrative law are fundamental to the structure of federal government in the United States, particularly regarding the separation of powers and the authority of the three branches vis-à-vis each other. Those principles have generated extensive debate, since administrative agencies by definition involve intersecting authorities (*e.g.*, as executive agencies governed by Congressional statute) and, inevitably, those intersections yield gray zones. The previous editions of this book have followed those controversies as the deregulatory and anti-regulatory trends of the 1970s and 1980s yielded the neoliberalism of the 1990s and 21st century. Those debates continue. The second edition reflected what was then the emergent trend towards privatization and marketization of governmental services. That trend is now well established, and public/private partnerships are pervasive, including partnerships between governmental entities and transnational corporations. The expansion of the transnational private sector in relation to government, as well as in other respects, effectively makes transnationalism integral to domestic administrative law in a variety of ways. A purely state centric approach to administrative law is insufficient, as administrative law today mediates public and private power in novel ways, the implications of which can be far reaching. This third edition will reflect at least some of the challenges of those mediations.

This edition foregrounds areas of administrative law that have given rise to new debates, or have brought new intensity to controversies of long standing. This is particularly the case with regard to judicial review, which has become a major site of contention over intra-governmental powers, including the powers of the Supreme Court itself. In some areas, including the judicial review of agency interpretations of statutes, settled doctrine has become unsettled, yielding important and often new interpretive questions for administrative law teachers and their students. The sharply partisan divides between the national political parties will undoubtedly be in the minds of many readers as they study recent cases; however, it is important to avoid too cynical a reading of the relevance of politics to the development of administrative law over the past decade. Administrative law is itself political in the classical sense of establishing and distributing powers; looking too quickly for partisan effects risks missing the rich institutional complexity of contemporary democracy — as well as its fragility. Our focus on powers and the principles behind their distribution is thus designed to help readers focus analytically on sites of conceptual tension and ambiguity in administrative law that are likely to become important arenas of advocacy in the future.

As in previous editions, the book is divided into two parts. An introductory Chapter 1 provides students with an overview of such key administrative law concepts as rules and orders as well as some of the various ways they might conceptualize administrative processes generally. Part I (Chapters 2 to 5) deals with the procedures agencies use to exercise their adjudicatory and rulemaking powers. It focuses on the exercise of power within the walls of administrative agencies. Chapters 2 and 3 explore the constitutional and statutory issues that arise when agencies adjudicate. More specifically, Chapter 2 is concerned with the constitutional law that governs the law-applying functions of

Preface to the Third Edition

agencies. It focuses on the constitutional requirements of the Due Process Clause of the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments as applied to agency adjudication. Chapter 3 examines in detail the Administrative Procedure Act's requirements for formal adjudication. Chapter 4 addresses informal agency actions and various alternative dispute resolution approaches. Chapter 5 then focuses on rules and rulemaking processes under the Administrative Procedure Act. These institutional arrangements, introduced in Part I, are then set into motion in Part II.

Part II (Chapters 6 to 10) examines how various actors outside of agencies attempt to influence and control the exercise of agency discretion. Chapter 6 deals with the constitutional issues that arise when Congress seeks to delegate legislative power to unelected agency administrators. It also examines delegation in relationship to international agencies and the outsourcing of agency responsibilities to private contractors as well. Chapter 7 involves executive controls over agency discretion as well as various legislative or political attempts to influence agency behavior. Some new issues regarding recess appointments as well as new statutory arrangements governing the ability of the President to remove agency officials are also included. Chapter 8 examines judicial controls over agency discretion and the scope of judicial review provided when a case is properly before a court. The *Chevron* case and the administrative law battleground that it has helped create will be covered in some detail, Chapter 9 addresses the question of which litigants can bring a lawsuit to challenge agency discretion, as well as when and where they can do so. Chapter 10 then examines other means of controlling agency discretion. In particular, Chapter 10 focuses on agencies' and citizens' power to obtain and withhold information.¹

As a starting point for our discussion of the pragmatics of administrative law, one could usefully view each agency as a culture, as the distinguished practitioner Howard Westwood argued over 45 years ago — each agency being “a law unto itself, with its own way of doing things.”² There is utility to this kind of appreciation of each agency's character as a social system, as agencies were established by individual statutes, at different times, to meet different needs, and an astute lawyer will know these differences and the different opportunities or constraints they entail for successful advocacy. A more detailed knowledge of agency law in action would greatly advance our overall understanding of bureaucracies in general, and administrative law in particular. Increasingly, this is an area of fruitful exchange between legal scholarship and the social sciences. Indeed, alertness to social and historical context is an asset in case analysis. Administrative law has always been subject to wider societal and political pressures that affect the legal system as a whole, particularly in contexts where a shift in the public's needs, expectations, or attitude generates political energy for reform, and, accordingly, new demands for legal action. Thus, this casebook offers an approach to administrative law that integrates cases and context, and — if this author's experience in the classroom is any guide — this is especially instructive for students encountering the basic principles of this field of law for the first time.

Contextual analysis, however, should not be limited to individual agencies; indeed — to pursue the cultural analogy just this much further — modern cultural analysis does not look for boundaries between cultures, but rather for cultural interconnections. Most

¹ Throughout this book, citations are often omitted from the cases and articles excerpted.

² Westwood, *The Davis Treatise: Meaning to the Practitioner*, 43 MINN. L. REV. 607, 611 (1959).

Preface to the Third Edition

agencies, despite their significant differences, perform a number of common administrative functions. They gather information, formulate policy and then seek to implement and enforce that policy. Moreover, as already pointed out, they carry out these functions in the context of general historical and political forces that affect all institutions. As we shall see, especially in the chapter on judicial review, administrative law is a lively arena at the moment, as judges and law makers wrestle with the question of what may be particular to an agency and its expertise, and what should be general to the system as a whole, requiring close judicial scrutiny.

Thus, while acknowledging the importance of particular substantive agency differences, this book focuses primarily on procedural issues that transcend individual agencies. It focuses on the more general legal context — including various social, political, and historical factors, as relevant — in which the key cases have arisen and been resolved. Indeed, administrative law must be historically sensitive, given the law's emphasis on longstanding interpretations of statutes as central to the conceptual and practical foundation of an agency's independence. Different historical eras are typified by different regulatory problems, different attitudes vis-à-vis the role government should play in dealing with these problems, and, consequently, different approaches to substantive regulation and procedure. For these same reasons, readers should not expect the lines of doctrinal development to be straightforward (or straight, for that matter), as administration law frequently finds its routes around doctrinal impasse (such as may emerge from unexpected circumstances) by tacking back or sideways to doctrinal sources some readers may have imagined to be no longer relevant.

Individual agencies have unique histories and ongoing developing characters that are significantly affected by a number of contextual factors. Primary among these is the historical background that gave rise to the creation of the particular agency in the first place. Second, context includes the regulatory politics generated by an agency's ongoing attempts to carry out its statutory mandates in ever-changing political and economic contexts. Closely related to these broad contextual factors, and perhaps most important of all, is the nature of the agency's particular substantive task. As Professors Gellhorn and Robinson long ago noted, "administrative procedures and the administrative process are ultimately related to the substance of administrative regulation."³ Or, to paraphrase the artist Ben Shahn, "form is the shape of content."⁴

Shahn states an ideal. But in striving for that ideal, we cannot ignore the complex political realities of which administrative law is but a part. The administrative process and the law that it generates are very much products of a dialectical tension between timeless constitutional doctrines and rational administrative principles, on the one hand, and the demands for pragmatic governmental action constrained by politics in the historic context of the moment, on the other. It is a complex mixture of rational political theories and raw political hopes and fears. It reflects various attempts to deal collectively with a wide range of societal problems, some of which may or may not be capable of resolution by market processes or other non-state processes. Administrative law is often a bundle of contradictions — in part reflecting the substantive and procedural contests involved in its making. In this book, several key contradictions are in play: the value we place on political process and yet our seeming inability to accept any finality when it comes to

³ Gellhorn & Robinson, *Perspectives in Administrative Law*, 75 COLUM. L. REV. 771, 787 (1975).

⁴ BEN SHAHN, *THE SHAPE OF CONTENT* 62 (Harv. Univ. Press 1957).

Preface to the Third Edition

results; our respect for the market but, at the same time, our continuing belief in the efficacy of collective, pragmatic legal approaches to societal problems; our concern that the common good of the group be achieved, but not at the expense of basic individual rights.

Again, I emphasize that readers should not look to administrative law to resolve these contradictions. Its primary role has been to give vent to their expression, and — accordingly — the primary effect of the administrative system has been more obviously procedural than substantive. However, procedure often encodes substantive values and agendas, and debates over administrative procedures are a means by which substantive conflicts are played out within agencies and in courts long after a law passes Congress and has been signed by the president.

Understanding the subtleties of the interplay between substance and procedure is crucial to understanding the role administrative law plays today. Privatization, deregulation, regulatory cost efficiency, and regulatory forbearance — introduced as key policy values with the national elections of the 1980s and the 90s — will be with us, in one form or another, for the foreseeable future. Privatization (especially outsourcing) and deregulation are fueled increasingly by global competition and a deepening global regulatory discourse centered on efficiency (and, today, in some quarters, austerity). Regulatory ends are now traded off against cost efficiency, and cost-consciousness pervades the implementation of most regulatory programs today, particularly those administered by the president and subject to Office of Management and Budget review. In practice, this means that the government relies increasingly upon private actors to carry out public functions. From welfare to prisons, from snow removal to garbage collection, from military services to social services, from government sponsored health insurance exchanges to private web designers, private providers now play a much greater role than ever before. What is and what should be the role of administrative law in these contexts? Do we need a new administrative law? These are among the central questions this book is designed to help students answer for themselves.

Given the major changes occurring in our political global economy, administrative law today is a key site for evidence of the adaptive capacity of government under the rule of law. As we adapt to changing times, creative and imaginative approaches towards law and policy will be evermore necessary. Understanding the basic principles set forth in this book will help students build the intellectual foundation for their own future innovations as legal professionals, thereby devising a new public law appropriate for sustaining democratic government in a global era and whatever is coming next.

Bloomington, Indiana

February, 2014

Acknowledgments

This book has benefited enormously from the conversations, comments, and other help I have had from my colleagues at the Maurer School of Law at Indiana University, especially Professors John Applegate, James Barnes, Yvonne Cripps, and Robert Fischman. I also wish to thank Professors Paul Craig at Oxford University, and Elisabeth Zoller, at the University of Paris II — both regular visitors to the Law School — as well as colleagues at other institutions with whom I have been in close contact regarding the issues in this book, in particular, Professor Alasdair Roberts at Suffolk University Law School and Professor José Vida at Juan Carlos III University in Madrid. I am deeply grateful for their comments, suggestions, and insights. I also wish to thank then Dean, now Provost Lauren Robel, and Acting Dean Hannah Buxbaum for the institutional support provided to me by the Maurer School of Law throughout this project.

I also wish to thank all of the librarians at the IU Maurer School of Law for their help and expertise throughout this project. I wish to thank, in particular, Keith Buckley, Assistant Director for Public Services, Michelle Botok, Electronic Services Librarian, and especially, Jennifer Bryan Morgan, Documents Librarian and Director of the Library's U.S. government depository program.

This book has also benefited from the conscientious and thoughtful contributions of the student research assistants who have worked on this project over the years, Kara Jensen '11, Erica Oppenheimer '12, John Fleming '12, Jason Olson '13, and Jillian Rountree '13. As the book neared its conclusion, several students, in particular, were deeply engaged in the exacting work of preparing the manuscript in its final form: Jake Davis '14, Ryan Weiss '14, and Scott Breen '15, all contributed importantly in this regard right up to the very end, when so many details needed to be addressed in short order.

I greatly appreciate the expert assistance of Law School staff: Stacy Kaiser, senior faculty secretary, for her work on the many drafts of this manuscript, and Marian Conaty, support technician, who solved the innumerable technical problems that called for her special expertise.

I am deeply grateful to my wife, Carol Greenhouse, without whose help, encouragement, and love, this book would not have been possible. She not only encouraged in me the kind of patience a long project like this requires, but she provided intellectual perspective, creative understanding, and stimulating questions along the way.

Table of Contents

Chapter 1	THE RELATIONSHIP OF THE INDIVIDUAL TO THE STATE	1
<hr/>		
§ 1.01	THREE QUESTIONS	1
§ 1.02	RULES OR ORDERS?	3
	<i>Londoner v. City and County of Denver</i>	3
	<i>Bi-Metallic Investment Co. v. State Board of Equalization of Colorado</i>	7
	Notes and Questions	8
	Problem 1-1	9
	Problem 1-2	10
	<i>Bowles v. Willingham</i>	11
	Notes and Questions	14
	Problem 1-3	15
§ 1.03	RULES, ORDERS, AND THEORIES OF PROCEDURE — SOME PRELIMINARY REFLECTIONS	17
[A]	Introduction	17
[B]	Red Light Theories of Administrative Law	19
[C]	Green Light Theories of Administrative Law	21
[D]	Context	24
	<i>Aman, The Limits of Globalization and the Future of Administrative Law: From Government to Governance</i>	27
<hr/>		
PART ONE	WITHIN AGENCY WALLS	29
<hr/>		
Chapter 2	DUE PROCESS AND ADMINISTRATIVE ADJUDICATION	31
<hr/>		
§ 2.01	INTRODUCTION	31
§ 2.02	THE RIGHT/PRIVILEGE DISTINCTION	31
	<i>Bailey v. Richardson</i>	32
	Notes and Questions	39
	<i>Cafeteria & Restaurant Workers Union v. McElroy</i>	42
	Notes and Questions	47
§ 2.03	THE DEMISE OF THE RIGHT/PRIVILEGE DISTINCTION	47
§ 2.04	DUE PROCESS, THE WAR ON POVERTY, AND THE NEW PROPERTY	51
	Reich, <i>The New Property</i>	51
	<i>Goldberg v. Kelly</i>	52
	Notes and Questions	62

Table of Contents

§ 2.05	REFINING THE DUE PROCESS METHODOLOGY: PROPERTY AND LIBERTY INTERESTS	68
	<i>Board of Regents of State Colleges v. Roth</i>	68
	<i>Perry v. Sindermann</i>	75
	Notes and Questions	79
	<i>Sandin v. Conner</i>	89
	Notes and Questions	98
	Problem 2-1	98
	Problem 2-2	99
§ 2.06	DUE PROCESS AND WELFARE REFORM	100
	<i>Reynolds v. Giuliani</i>	101
	Notes and Questions	106
§ 2.07	HOW MUCH PROCESS IS DUE AND WHEN SHOULD IT BE PROVIDED?	109
	<i>Mathews v. Eldridge</i>	109
	Notes and Questions	118
	<i>Hamdi v. Rumsfeld</i>	123
	Notes and Questions	133
§ 2.08	CONFINING THE DUE PROCESS EXPLOSION	136
	<i>Goss v. Lopez</i>	136
	<i>Ingraham v. Wright</i>	142
	Notes and Questions	151
	<i>Walters v. National Association of Radiation Survivors</i>	152
	Notes and Questions	159
§ 2.09	THE PUBLIC/PRIVATE DISTINCTION	169
[A]	Due Process and Negative Rights	170
	<i>DeShaney v. Winnebago County Department of Social Services</i>	170
	Notes and Questions	178
[B]	Due Process and Healthcare	179
	<i>Schweiker v. McClure</i>	179
	<i>Blum v. Yaretsky</i>	183
	Notes and Questions	188
[C]	Privatization and Welfare	189
	Problem 2-3	193
Chapter 3	FORMAL ADJUDICATION AND THE ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE ACT	195
§ 3.01	INTRODUCTION	195
§ 3.02	THE NEW DEAL AND THE APA: AN OVERVIEW	196
	HAWLEY, NEW DEAL AND THE PROBLEM OF MONOPOLY	196
	Gellhorn, <i>The Administrative Procedure Act: The Beginnings</i>	201

Table of Contents

	Shapiro, <i>APA: Past, Present, Future</i>	203
§ 3.03	FORMAL ADJUDICATION AND THE APA	204
	<i>Wong Yang Sung v. McGrath</i>	206
	Notes and Questions	211
	<i>Dominion Energy Brayton Point v. Johnson</i>	212
	Notes and Questions	216
	Problem 3-1	221
	<i>Citizens Awareness Network, Inc. v. Nuclear Regulatory</i> <i>Commission</i>	222
§ 3.04	PARTY STATUS AND INTERVENTION IN AN APA PROCEEDING	226
	<i>Envirocare of Utah, Inc. v. Nuclear Regulatory Commission and</i> <i>United States of America</i>	227
	<i>Office of Communication of United Church of Christ v. Federal</i> <i>Communications Commission</i>	231
	Notes and Questions	234
§ 3.05	EVIDENCE	238
[A]	Rules of Evidence	238
	<i>Calhoun v. Bailar</i>	238
	Notes and Questions	240
[B]	Official Notice	241
	<i>Castillo-Villagra v. Immigration and Naturalization Service</i>	242
	Notes and Questions	248
[C]	Burden of Proof, Burden of Production, and Burden of Persuasion	248
	<i>Director, Office of Workers' Compensation Programs,</i> <i>Department of Labor v. Greenwich Collieries</i>	248
	Notes and Questions	255
§ 3.06	THE ADMINISTRATIVE STRUCTURE OF FORMAL ADJUDICATION — COMBINATION OF FUNCTIONS AND THE CONSTITUTION	255
	<i>Withrow v. Larkin</i>	256
	Notes and Questions	261
	Problem 3-2	265
	Problem 3-3	266
	Problem 3-4	266
§ 3.07	THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE AND AN UNBIASED DECISIONMAKER	266
	<i>Grolier, Inc. v. Federal Trade Commission</i>	267
	Notes and Questions	273
	Problem 3-5	275
§ 3.08	EX PARTE COMMUNICATIONS	275
	<i>Professional Air Traffic Controllers Org. (PATCO) v. Federal Labor</i>	

Table of Contents

	<i>Relations Authority</i>	276
	Notes and Questions	288
§ 3.09	PRE-JUDGMENT	289
	ALFRED C. AMAN, JR. & WILLIAM T. MAYTON, ADMINISTRATIVE LAW	289
	<i>Cinderella Career and Finishing Schools, Inc. v. Federal Trade Commission</i>	289
	Notes and Questions	293
	Problem 3-6	294
Chapter 4	INFORMAL AGENCY ACTION AND ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION TECHNIQUES	295
§ 4.01	INTRODUCTION	295
§ 4.02	INFORMAL AGENCY ADJUDICATION	296
	<i>Citizens to Preserve Overton Park v. Volpe</i>	296
	<i>Camp v. Pitts</i>	302
	Notes and Questions	304
	<i>Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation v. LTV Corp.</i>	305
	Notes and Questions	310
§ 4.03	ADMINISTRATIVE EQUITY	311
	<i>Aman, Jr., Administrative Equity: An Analysis of Exceptions to Administrative Rules</i>	312
	<i>Chemical Manufacturers Association v. Natural Resources Defense Council, Inc.</i>	314
	Notes and Questions	319
	<i>Kixmiller v. SEC</i>	320
	Notes and Questions	322
	Problem 4-1	324
	Problem 4-2	325
	Problem 4-3	325
§ 4.04	CONDITIONS AND COMMITMENTS	326
	<i>Aman, Bargaining for Justice: An Examination of the Use and Limits of Conditions by the Federal Reserve Board</i>	326
	<i>First Bancorporation v. Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System</i>	330
	Notes and Questions	333
	Problem 4-4	334
§ 4.05	ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION TECHNIQUES	334
[A]	Overview	334
[B]	Settlement	335
	<i>United Municipal Distributors Group v. FERC</i>	335

Table of Contents

	Notes and Questions	340
[C]	Arbitration	342
	<i>Thomas v. Union Carbide Agric. Products Co.</i>	342
	Notes and Questions	348
	<i>Devine v. Pastore</i>	349
	Notes and Questions	353
[D]	Other ADR Approaches and Their Critics	353
	Harter, <i>Points on a Continuum: Dispute Resolution Procedures</i> <i>and the Administrative Process</i>	354
	Edwards, <i>Alternative Dispute Resolution: Panacea or</i> <i>Anathema?</i>	355
Chapter 5	AGENCY RULEMAKING	359
§ 5.01	WHAT IS A RULE?	359
	Schauer, <i>A Brief Note on the Logic of Rules, with Special</i> <i>Reference to Bowen v. Georgetown</i>	360
	<i>Bowen v. Georgetown Univ. Hospital</i>	363
	<i>Arkema Inc. v. EPA</i>	369
	Notes and Questions	376
	<i>Industrial Safety Equipment Ass’n Inc. v. E.P.A.</i>	377
	Notes and Questions	380
	<i>Sugar Cane Growers Cooperative of Florida v. Veneman</i>	381
§ 5.02	FORMAL AND INFORMAL RULES AND RULEMAKING PROCESSES	384
[A]	Overview	384
[B]	Informal Rulemaking Processes — Notice and Comment	386
	<i>Chocolate Mfrs. Ass’n of United States v. Block</i>	386
	Notes and Questions	392
	<i>United States v. Nova Scotia Food Products Corp.</i>	393
	Notes and Questions	398
	Problem 5-1: E-Rulemaking	404
	Problem 5-2	406
[C]	Administrative Common Law	406
	<i>Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corp. v. Natural Resources</i> <i>Defense Council</i>	407
	Notes and Questions	417
	Problem 5-3	417
[D]	Hybrid Rulemaking Procedures	418
	<i>Industrial Union Department, AFL-CIO v. Hodgson</i>	418
	Notes and Questions	425
	<i>United States Steelworkers of America v. Marshall</i>	428

Table of Contents

	Notes and Questions	438
[E]	Negotiated Rulemaking	440
	<i>USA Group Loan Services, Incorporated v. Riley</i>	441
	Notes and Questions	444
[F]	Exceptions to Section 553 Rulemaking Procedures	448
	<i>American Hospital Assoc. v. Bowen</i>	448
	<i>Hocfor v. United States Department of Agriculture</i>	456
	Notes and Questions	462
	<i>United States v. Reynolds</i>	463
	Notes and Questions	468
	Problem 5-4	471
	Problem 5-5	472
§ 5.03	CHOOSING RULEMAKING OR ADJUDICATION	473
[A]	Introduction	473
[B]	The Power to Choose	473
	<i>SEC v. Chenery Corp.</i>	473
	<i>National Labor Relations Board v. Bell Aerospace Company</i>	482
	Notes and Questions	485
[C]	The Need for and Agency Use of Rules	488
	<i>Heckler, Secretary of Health and Human Services v. Campbell</i>	488
	<i>Allison v. Block</i>	493
	Notes and Questions	498
<hr/>		
PART TWO	LEGISLATIVE, EXECUTIVE, AND JUDICIAL CONTROL OF AGENCY DISCRETION: OUTSIDE THE WALLS OF THE AGENCY	501
Chapter 6	LEGISLATIVE CONTROL OF AGENCY DISCRETION	503
<hr/>		
§ 6.01	INTRODUCTION	503
§ 6.02	LEGISLATIVE INFLUENCE OVER AGENCY DISCRETION: CONSTITUTIONAL LIMITATIONS	503
	<i>Pillsbury Co. v. FTC</i>	504
	Notes and Questions	508
§ 6.03	ARTICLE I OF THE CONSTITUTION	509
	<i>Immigration and Naturalization Service v. Chadha</i>	509
	Notes and Questions	524
§ 6.04	THE NONDELEGATION DOCTRINE	527
	<i>A.L.A. Schechter Poultry Corp. v. United States</i>	528
	Notes and Questions	533

Table of Contents

§ 6.05	THE NONDELEGATION DOCTRINE SINCE <i>PANAMA AND SCHECHTER</i>	534
§ 6.06	NONDELEGATION DOCTRINE REVIVAL?	537
	<i>Industrial Union Department, AFL-CIO v. American Petroleum Institute</i>	537
	Notes and Questions	550
	Problem 6-1	551
	Problem 6-2	553
	<i>Mistretta v. United States</i>	554
	Notes and Questions	561
	<i>Whitman v. American Trucking Associations, Inc.</i>	561
	Notes and Questions	568
§ 6.07	DELEGATING LEGISLATIVE POWER TO PRIVATE ACTORS	569
	<i>Association of American Railroads v. United States Department of Transportation</i>	570
	Notes and Questions	579
§ 6.07	OUTSOURCING	579
	AMAN, ADMINISTRATIVE LAW FOR A NEW CENTURY	580
	<i>Richardson v. McKnight</i>	581
	Notes and Questions	590
	Problem 6-3	594
§ 6.08	DELEGATING LEGISLATIVE POWER TO INTERNATIONAL ACTORS	595
	<i>Natural Resources Defense Council v. Environmental Protection Agency</i>	595
	Notes and Questions	600
§ 6.09	THE DELEGATION OF JUDICIAL POWER: ARTICLE III	601
	Pound, <i>Administration of Justice in the Modern City</i>	602
	ALFRED C. AMAN, JR. & WILLIAM T. MAYTON, ADMINISTRATIVE LAW	603
§ 6.10	ADMINISTRATIVE ADJUDICATION AND JURY TRIALS	605
	<i>Atlas Roofing, Inc. v. Occupational Safety and Health Review Comm'n</i>	605
	Notes and Questions	611
	Problem 6-4	611
§ 6.11	THE RETURN OF <i>CROWELL v. BENSON</i>	611
	<i>Northern Pipeline Construction Co. v. Marathon Pipe Line Co.</i>	612
	Notes and Questions	620
	<i>Commodity Futures Trading Commission v. Schor</i>	622
	Notes and Questions	630
	<i>Stern v. Marshall</i>	633
	Notes and Questions	643

Table of Contents

Chapter 7	EXECUTIVE CONTROL OF AGENCY DISCRETION	645
§ 7.01	INTRODUCTION	645
	AMAN, ADMINISTRATIVE LAW IN A GLOBAL ERA	646
§ 7.02	CONTROLLING SPENDING: THE LINE ITEM VETO	649
	<i>Clinton v. City of New York</i>	649
	Notes and Questions	661
§ 7.03	THE POWER TO APPOINT	662
	<i>Buckley v. Valeo</i>	662
	<i>Morrison v. Olson</i>	669
	Notes and Questions	674
§ 7.04	THE POWER TO REMOVE	681
	<i>Humphrey's Executor v. United States</i>	681
	Notes and Questions	685
	<i>Morrison v. Olson</i>	689
	Notes and Questions	697
	<i>Free Enterprise Fund v. Public Company Accounting Oversight Board</i>	697
	Notes and Questions	712
§ 7.05	EXECUTIVE OVERSIGHT: EXECUTIVE ORDERS AND THE OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET	716
	ALFRED C. AMAN, JR. & WILLIAM T. MAYTON, ADMINISTRATIVE LAW	716
	<i>Croley, White House Review of Agency Rulemaking: An Empirical Investigation</i>	718
	Notes and Questions	727
§ 7.06	EXECUTIVE AND CONGRESSIONAL PARTICIPATION IN AGENCY RULEMAKING PROCEEDINGS	730
	<i>Sierra Club v. Costle</i>	731
	Notes and Questions	737
§ 7.07	OMB AND THE DATA QUALITY ACT	738
	Notes and Questions	739
§ 7.08	OMB AND PRIVATIZATION	741
	Notes and Questions	742
Chapter 8	JUDICIAL CONTROL OF AGENCY DISCRETION	747
§ 8.01	OVERVIEW	747
§ 8.02	JUDICIAL REVIEW OF QUESTIONS OF FACT	749
	<i>O'Leary v. Brown-Pacific-Maxon</i>	749
	Notes and Questions	752

Table of Contents

§ 8.03	JUDICIAL REVIEW OF FINDINGS OF FACT — THE SUBSTANTIAL EVIDENCE STANDARD	754
	<i>Universal Camera Corp. v. NLRB</i>	754
	Notes and Questions	761
§ 8.04	QUESTIONS OF LAW	763
	<i>National Labor Relations Board v. Hearst Publications, Inc.</i>	765
	Notes and Questions	771
	<i>Skidmore v. Swift</i>	772
	Notes and Questions	774
§ 8.05	THE <i>CHEVRON</i> REVOLUTION	775
	<i>Chevron v. NRDC</i>	776
	Notes and Questions	782
	<i>Immigration and Naturalization Service v. Cardoza-Fonseca</i>	789
	Notes and Questions	794
	<i>Food and Drug Administration v. Brown & Williamson Tobacco Corporation</i>	795
	Notes and Questions	810
§ 8.06	<i>CHEVRON</i> STEP TWO	811
	<i>Mayo Foundation for Medical Education and Research v. United States</i>	812
	Notes and Questions	816
	<i>Chemical Manufacturers Association and Cement Kiln Re-Cycling Coalition, Petitioners v. Environmental Protection Agency, Respondent; Environmental Technology Council, Inc., Intervenor</i>	818
§ 8.07	WHEN <i>CHEVRON</i> DOES NOT APPLY: TYPES AND DEGREES OF DEFERENCE	820
	<i>United States v. Mead Corporation</i>	821
	Notes and Questions	834
	<i>Barnhart v. Walton</i>	837
	Notes and Questions	842
	<i>Gonzales v. Oregon</i>	843
	Notes and Questions	852
	<i>City of Arlington, Texas v. Federal Communications Commission</i>	853
	Notes and Questions	859
	<i>National Cable & Telecommunications Association v. Brand X Internet Services, et al.; Federal Communications Commission and United States v. Brand X Internet Services, et al.</i>	859
	Notes and Questions	866
	Problem 8-1	868
§ 8.08	JUDICIAL REVIEW OF AGENCY RULES	869
[A]	The Arbitrary and Capricious Standard of Review and the Rational	

Table of Contents

	Basis Test	869
[B]	The Hard Look Doctrine	870
	AMAN, ADMINISTRATIVE LAW IN A GLOBAL ERA	870
	<i>Motor Vehicle Mfrs. Assn. v. State Farm Mutual</i>	872
	Notes and Questions	884
	<i>FCC v. Fox Television Studios, Inc.</i>	885
	Notes and Questions	895
	<i>Baltimore Gas & Electric Co. v. NRDC</i>	896
	Notes and Questions	899
Chapter 9	THE AVAILABILITY AND TIMING OF JUDICIAL REVIEW	903
§ 9.01	INTRODUCTION	903
§ 9.02	APA EXCLUSIONS FROM JUDICIAL REVIEW: “COMMITTED TO AGENCY DISCRETION BY LAW”	904
	<i>Heckler v. Chaney</i>	905
	Notes and Questions	911
	<i>Webster v. Doe</i>	912
	<i>Lincoln v. Vigil</i>	918
	Notes and Questions	922
§ 9.03	WHO HAS STANDING TO SEEK JUDICIAL REVIEW?	923
	<i>Association of Data Processing Service Organizations v. Camp</i>	924
	Notes and Questions	927
[A]	Constitutional Standing	929
	<i>Allen v. Wright</i>	929
	Notes and Questions	934
	Problem 9-1	936
	<i>Lujan v. Defenders of Wildlife</i>	937
	Notes and Questions	947
	<i>Friends of the Earth, Incorporated v. Laidlaw Environmental Services (TOC), Inc.</i>	949
	Notes and Questions	957
	Problem 9-2	958
	<i>Massachusetts v. Environmental Protection Agency</i>	958
	Notes and Questions	971
[B]	STANDING STATUTES: PRUDENTIAL CONSIDERATIONS	972
	<i>United Food and Commercial Workers Union Local 751 v. Brown Group, Inc., DBA Brown Shoe Company</i>	972
	Notes and Questions	976
§ 9.04	WHEN SHOULD JUDICIAL REVIEW OCCUR?	977
[A]	Finality	977

Table of Contents

	<i>Federal Trade Commission v. Standard Oil Co. of California</i>	977
	Notes and Questions	981
	Problem 9-3	982
[B]	Exhaustion of Administrative Remedies	982
	<i>Myers v. Bethlehem Shipbuilding Corp.</i>	983
	Notes and Questions	984
[C]	Ripeness	986
	<i>Abbott Laboratories v. Gardner</i>	986
	<i>Toilet Goods Association v. Gardner</i>	990
	Notes and Questions	995
[D]	Primary Jurisdiction	998
	<i>Nader v. Allegheny Airlines, Inc.</i>	998
[E]	Mootness	1004
§ 9.05	THE PROPER FORUM	1005
	<i>Telecommunications Research & Action Center v. F.C.C.</i>	1006
	Notes and Questions	1010
Chapter 10	OPEN GOVERNMENT	1013
§ 10.01	OPEN GOVERNMENT — INTRODUCTION	1013
	ALFRED C. AMAN, JR. & WILLIAM T. MAYTON, ADMINISTRATIVE LAW	1013
§ 10.02	THE FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT	1014
[A]	Overview	1014
[B]	Defining Agency and Agency Records	1017
	<i>Forsham v. Harris</i>	1017
	<i>United States Department of Justice v. Tax Analysts</i>	1022
	Notes and Questions	1026
	Problem 10-1	1029
[C]	FOIA Exemptions	1029
	<i>King v. U.S. Dept. of Justice</i>	1029
	<i>Glen Scott Milner v. Department of the Navy</i>	1036
	<i>Center for National Security Studies v. U.S. Department of Justice</i>	1044
	Notes and Questions	1057
[D]	Reverse FOIA Suits	1058
	<i>Chrysler Corp. v. Brown</i>	1058
	Notes and Questions	1064
§ 10.03	THE FEDERAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE ACT	1065
	<i>Natural Resources Defense Council v. Herrington</i>	1065
	Notes and Questions	1069
	<i>Byrd v. United States Environmental Protection Agency</i>	1070

Table of Contents

	Notes and Questions	1074
	Problem 10-2	1075
§ 10.04	GOVERNMENT IN THE SUNSHINE ACT	1076
	<i>Common Cause v. Nuclear Regulatory Commission</i>	1076
	Notes and Questions	1084
§ 10.05	THE PRIVACY ACT	1084
	<i>Tijerina v. Walters</i>	1086
	Notes and Questions	1095
<hr/>		
APPENDIX A	THE CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA (Selected Provisions)	1097
<hr/>		
APPENDIX B	FEDERAL ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE ACT UNITED STATES CODE, TITLE 5 (Selected Provisions)	1103
<hr/>		
APPENDIX C	FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT UNITED STATES CODE, TITLE 5, CHAPTER 5	1119
<hr/>		
	TABLE OF CASES	TC-1
<hr/>		
	INDEX	I-1
<hr/>		